UMass Boston Post-Approval Monitoring (PAM) Review Checklist

Version 9/26/2024

Stι	ıdv	Infoi	rmati	on
~	4 CA Y			\sim

- Principal Investigator (PI): Click or tap here to enter text. • Study Title: Click or tap here to enter text. • IRB Protocol Number: Click or tap here to enter text.

•	 Department: Click or tap here to enter text. Date of Review: Click or tap here to enter text. 					
•						
•	PAM Reviewer(s): Click or tap here to enter text.					
Section	on 1: Pre-Review Information					
1.	Has the study been previously monitored?					
	◦ ☐ Yes (Date: Click or tap to enter a date.)					
	∘ □ No					
2.	Study Funding Source:					
	○ □ Federal					
	$_{\circ}$ \square Institutional					
	○ □ Private					
	 Other (Specify: Click or tap here to enter text.) 					
3.	Study Phase:					
	○ ☐ Recruitment					
	o □ Data Collection					
	$_{\circ}$ \square Data Analysis					
	$_{\circ}$ \square Closed to Enrollment					
	\circ \square Other (Specify: Click or tap here to enter text.)					
Section	on 2: Subject Recruitment Procedures					
	1. How are participants identified for this study? Click or tap here to enter text.					
	2. Are there recruitment materials for this study?					
	■ □ Yes					
	■ □ No					
	3. Are all recruitment materials IRB approved?					
	■ □ Yes					
	■ □ No					
	4. Are all currently approved recruitment materials on file?					
	■ □ Yes					
	■ □ No					
Section	on 3: Informed Consent Process:					
	\square Section not applicable (consent waived)					
	1. Is written consent required to be obtained by the IRB approved protocol?					
	■ □ Yes					

■ □ No	
1.1 If yes, how many versions of the consent form are there? Cl	ick or tap
here to enter text.	
Section 4: Consent Process and Documentation	
1. Informed Consent Process:	
 a. Is the informed consent process being conducted as described 	n the
approved protocol?	
■ □ Yes	
 No (Describe deviations: Click or tap here to enter text.) 	
 b. Are the consent forms signed and dated by all participants? 	
■ □ Yes	
 No (Describe issues: Click or tap here to enter text.) 	
 c. Is the consent form version being used consistent with the lates 	t IRB-
approved version?	
■ □ Yes	
 No (Describe issues: Click or tap here to enter text.) 	
2. Documentation:	
 a. Are all consent forms appropriately filed and stored as per unive 	rsity
policies?	
■ □ Yes	
 No (Describe issues: Click or tap here to enter text.) 	
 b. Are participant confidentiality and privacy being maintained as of 	lescribed
in the protocol?	
■ ☐ Yes	
 No (Describe issues: Click or tap here to enter text.) 	
Section 5: Protocol Adherence	
1. Compliance with Approved Protocol:	
 a. Are all study procedures being followed as per the approved pro 	tocol?
■ □ Yes	
 No (Describe deviations: Click or tap here to enter text.) 	
$_{\circ}$ $$ b. Are any protocol modifications reported and approved by the IRI	3?
■ □ Yes	
 No (Describe unreported changes: Click or tap here to er 	iter text.)
2. Adverse Events Reporting:	
 a. Have there been any adverse events? 	
 Yes (Describe: Click or tap here to enter text.) 	
■ □ No	
 b. If yes, have these events been reported to the IRB? 	
■ □ Yes	
 No (Explain why not: Click or tap here to enter text.) 	

Section 6: Data Management					
1. Data Collection:					
	a. Is data being collected as outlined in the protocol? \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \)				
		 No (Describe issues: Click or tap here to enter text.) 			
	0	b. Is the data securely stored in compliance with university policies?			
	Ü	■ ☐ Yes			
		 No (Describe issues: Click or tap here to enter text.) 			
2	Data	Analysis:			
۷.	Oata	a. Is data analysis being conducted as described in the protocol?			
	O	■ ☐ Yes			
		 No (Describe deviations: Click or tap here to enter text.) 			
		- Tro (Describe deviations: Ottok of tap here to enter text.)			
Section	n 7: Ed	Jucation and Training			
		arch Team Training:			
	0	a. Are all research team members up to date with required training?			
		■ □ Yes			
		 No (Specify who is out of compliance: Click or tap here to enter 			
		text.)			
	0	b. Are there opportunities for additional training to enhance compliance?			
		 Yes (Specify: Click or tap here to enter text.) 			
		■ □ No			
		ndings and Recommendations			
1.	Sumn	nary of Findings:			
	0	a. Overall compliance status:			
		 □ Compliant 			
		 Minor Issues Identified 			
		 Significant Issues Identified 			
	0	b. Areas of excellence:			
		 Click or tap here to enter text. 			
	0	c. Areas for improvement:			
		 Click or tap here to enter text. 			
2.	Recor	mmendations for Corrective Actions:			
	0	Click or tap here to enter text.			
3.	Additi	onal Comments:			
	0	Click or tap here to enter text.			
Section	n 9· Fo	ollow-Up Actions			
		ow-up required?			
••	 S Tottow-up required: Yes (Specify actions and timeline: Click or tap here to enter text.) 				
	0	□ No			
2.	_	for Follow-Up Review (if applicable): Click or tap to enter a date.			
		- 1			

Reviewer Signature:	Date:	
PI Signature (Acknowledgment of Findings):		_ Date: